



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,263	12/08/2003	Sidney E. Veazey	SEV-SDIV2	4726
34491	7590	03/31/2005	EXAMINER	
JAMES K. POOLE, ESQ.				
P.O. BOX 925				
LOVELAND, CO 80539				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3617	

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

10/731,263

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER


20050324

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Commissioner for Patents

1. The reply filed on March 10, 2005 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): the reply is not compliant with the revised amendment practice effective July 30, 2003 for the reasons set forth below. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).
2. The revised amendment practice effective July 30, 2003 requires that amendments to the specification or abstract, must be made by presenting a replacement paragraph, or section or abstract marked up to show changes made relative to the immediate prior version. Applicant failed to do so with regard to the amendments to page 1, line 11; page 62, line 1; page 63, line 1; page 65, line 22; page 66, line 3 and the abstract. For one example, applicant in amending the patent number on page 1, line 11 should have presented a replacement paragraph for the paragraph beginning in line 7 of page 1 and ending in line 12 of page 1. This paragraph should have used strikeouts for deleted subject matter and underlining for added subject matter.
3. Additionally the new paragraph directed to be inserted on page 51, line 24 appears to require insertion at a different page and line number.

  
Sherman D. Basinger  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit: 3617